

## REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are now in this application. Claims 1, 3-8 and 10-12 were amended. Reconsideration of this application is now being requested.

Claims 5, 9 and 11 were objected to because of an informality. Claims 5, 9 and 11 have been amended to address the informality.

Claim 4 was objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form. Claim 4 has been amended into proper form.


Claims 8, 10, 11 and 12 were objected to because the mobile terminal was according to claim 7 and not claim 6. Claims 8, 10, 11 and 12 have been amended such that it is according to claim 7.

Claims 1-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In particular, there were a number of insufficient antecedent basis for several limitations. Claims 1, 3, 6 and 7 have been amended to address the insufficient antecedent basis issue.

In view of the foregoing, allowance of all the claims presently in the application and passage to issue of the subject application is respectfully requested. If the Examiner should feel that the application is not yet in a condition for allowance and that a telephone interview would be useful, he is invited to contact applicants' undersigned attorney at 973, 386-6377.

Respectfully submitted,

Cristian Demetrescu  
Konstantinos Samaras  
Louis Gwyn Samuel  
Magnus Sandell  
Jian Jun Wu  
Ran-Hong Yan

By   
Jimmy Goo  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No.: 36528

Date: 10/29/04

Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)  
Lucent Technologies Inc.  
101 Crawfords Corner Road  
Room 3J-219  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733-3030